

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,781	09/22/2003	Chang-Wen Tsaur	BP3027-S11-P61	9010	
7590 08/10/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Chang-Wen Tsaur 235 Chung - Ho			SAKRAN, VICTOR N		
Box 8-24			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Taipei,			3677		
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/666,781	TSAUR, CHANG-WEN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		VICTOR N SAKRAN	3677				
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE MAILING D - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ay be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 S from the mailing date of this communication. specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re is specified above, the maximum statutory perior the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- the Office later than three months after the mailid djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day it will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsiv	e to communication(s) filed on 22	September 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in a	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Clair	ns						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> -	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	Claim(s) <u>1,3,5 and 9</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u>	Claim(s) <u>2,4,6-8 and 10</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) _	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specific	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawin)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
• • •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
<u> </u>	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath o	declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U	S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Cert 2. Cert 3. Cop	gment is made of a claim for foreigg Some * c) None of: ified copies of the priority documer ified copies of the priority documer es of the certified copies of the priority documer ication from the International Bure	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of Reference		4) Interview Summary					
	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 3) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail D		6) Other:					

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 4, line 8, reference numeral "11" should be changed to - - 22 - -, on page 4, line 9, "he" should read - - the - -, on page 6, line 2, reference numeral "12" should be changed to --21 -- and on page 7, line 14 "Fig. 15" should read -- Fig. 11 --. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 1, is objected to because of the following informalities: since the terms "the right upper stopper" and "the left upper stopper" have no proper antecedent basis as recited in said claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,781

Art Unit: 3677

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 3, 5 and 9, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsaur U. S. Patent No. 6,701,585 in view of Johnson U. S. Patent No. 1,910,953.

Tsaur discloses Applicant's claimed of a zipper fastener comprising two pull strips, each of said strips having a plurality of teeth installed thereon and an upper stopper structure is mounted on said strips, wherein said upper stopper defining a left stopper and a right stopper (11,12), each of said right and left stoppers are chamfered to have an inclined surfaces (51) for guiding said stoppers into the pull (20) and are formed with protruded blocks (16) for engaging the grooves (22) in the pull (20), such that when the pull of the zipper is guided to pass through the teeth of the strips will not be separated from the zipper fastener due to the use of the left and right stoppers; see Figures 3-5; the abstract; column 2, lines 20-27, 29-36, 37-40, and claim 1, except that the patent to Tsaur is silent about having a an elastic fixing strip which extended from the end stop. Johnson

Art Unit: 3677

teaches the use of a right stopper (8) having fixing strip (9) allowing the slider (4) to pass through in a zipper fastener; see Figures 1-3; page 1, column 2, lines 56-74. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the right stopper in Tsaur with a fixing strip in the manner taught, disclosed and suggested by Johnson, especially, since such modification involves only routine skill in the art, moreover, the fixing strip as recited in claim 1, does not add any limitation or specific function to said claim. Furthermore, Applicant is reminded that in considering the disclosure of a reference, it is proper to take into account not only specific teaching of the reference but also the inferences which one skilled in the art would reasonably be expected to draw therefrom; see In re Preda, 401 F2d 825, 826, 159 USPQ 342,344 (CCPA1968).

Moreover, the particular location and/or the arrangement selected of an elements is considered to be no more than an obvious matter of design choice to one having ordinary skill within the art, especially, since it has been held that rearranging pa an invention is involves only routine skill in the art. See In Re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70.

Claims 2, 4, 6, 7, 8 and 10, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant's attention is directed to the prior art cited herein, as showing structure related to Applicant's disclosed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VICTOR N SAKRAN whose telephone number is 703-308-2224. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. swann can be reached on 703-308-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 5, 2004

VICTOR N SAKRAN Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677